<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table of Contents</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent Auditors’ Report on the Schedule of Expenditures</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Auditors’ Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Independent Auditors’ Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Required by the Uniform Guidance

November 7, 2017

To the Board of Trustees
Montcalm Community College
Sidney, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities of Montcalm Community College (the “College”) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the College’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 7, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.
## Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Agency / Cluster / Program Title</th>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Passed Through</th>
<th>Pass-through / Grantor Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Department of Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Financial Assistance Cluster:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity</td>
<td>84.007</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>P007A162049</td>
<td>$58,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Work Study Program</td>
<td>84.033</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>P033A162049</td>
<td>42,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell Grant Program</td>
<td>84.063</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>P063P161644</td>
<td>2,438,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell Grant Program - Administrative Fees</td>
<td>84.063</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Direct Student Loan Program</td>
<td>84.268</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>P268K171644</td>
<td>2,780,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total student financial assistance cluster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5,323,144</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States:

| Local Leadership 15/16 | 84.048 | MDE | 172519 | 9,200 |
| Regional Allocation 15/16 | 84.048 | MDE | 172119 | 117,984 |

**Total Expenditures of Federal Awards**

$5,450,328

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the “Schedule”) includes the federal grant activity of Montcalm Community College (the “College”) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the College, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the College.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 to the College’s financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

For purposes of charging indirect costs to federal awards, the College has not elected to use the 10 percent de minimis cost rate as permitted by $200,414 of the Uniform Guidance.

3. PASS-THROUGH AGENCIES

The College receives certain federal grants as subawards from non-federal entities. Pass-through entities, where applicable, have been identified in the Schedule with an abbreviation, defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pass-through Agency Abbreviation</th>
<th>Pass-through Agency Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDE</td>
<td>Michigan Department of Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

November 7, 2017

To the Board of Trustees
Montcalm Community College
Sidney, Michigan

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities of Montcalm Community College (the "College"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the College’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 7, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the College’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the College’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.
Independent Auditors’ Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program
and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance

November 7, 2017

To the Board of Trustees
Montcalm Community College
Sidney, Michigan

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the compliance of Montcalm Community College (the “College”) with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the College’s major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017. The College’s major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Independent Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the College’s major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the College’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the College’s compliance.
Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the College complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the College is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the College's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe that a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
MONTCALM COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS’ RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified? yes no

Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified? yes no

Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes none reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? yes no

Identification of major programs and type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for each major program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
<th>Type of Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84.007, 84.033, 84.063 and 84.268</td>
<td>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: $750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? yes no
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS
None noted.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
None noted.
Finding 2016-001 - Review of Significant Estimates

The audit identified a few errors in the net pension liability due to incorrect data being entered or inaccurate rates being used in the payroll summary reports that required adjustment during the audit. Additionally, the audit of the MPSERS rate stabilization receivable and accrual identified that only one month of accounts payable and expense was accrued at June 30, 2016. This was corrected in fiscal 2017 and the MPSERS calculation was independently reviewed for accuracy. No adjustments were required as a result of the 2017 audit.

Finding 2016-002 - Review of Monthly Reconciliations

The audit identified that supporting schedules or reconciliations for certain accounts, including tuition to credit hours reconciliation, payroll accrual and promises/pledges report were not subject to independent review or lacked evidence of such review to ensure completeness and accuracy. The College understands the importance of internal controls for accuracy as well as a tool for detective controls. In fiscal 2017, monthly reconciliations for said accounts, and others, were subject to review. No adjustments were required as a part of the 2017 audit.

Finding 2016-003 - Status Changes

The audit identified that two students out of a testing population of 14 were not reported timely to the National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) due to employee turnover and lack of documented procedures. This was corrected in 2017. A new query was designed and is being run prior to each NSC submission to verify that the submission includes all students who should be reported. The query was added to the procedure manual to guarantee that it will take place in all future submissions.